

Disclaimer

The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the coding and processing of airfare-related data by the airline industry, to make the distribution and interpretation of airfare-related data more efficient. It is important that participants conduct themselves in a manner consistent with this conference's industry-wide, pro-competitive mission. Because some, if not all, participants represent companies that compete with one another, we must be careful not to engage in conduct that could be deemed a violation of the antitrust laws.

Accordingly, this meeting will not discuss or take action to develop fares or charges, nor will it discuss or act on remuneration levels of any intermediaries engaged in the sale of passenger air transportation. This meeting also has no authority to discuss or reach agreement on the allocation of markets, the division or sharing of traffic or revenues, or the number of flights or capacity to be offered in any market. Participants are cautioned that any discussion regarding such matters, or concerning any other competitively sensitive topics outside the scope of the agenda, either on the floor or off, is strictly prohibited.

Discussing or reaching any agreement related to these topics could expose meeting participants to liability under the U.S. antitrust laws as well as liability under the competition laws of other jurisdictions around the world.





M D N U **り**



Baggage Migration Community

- 1. Why is the Industry Migrating Baggage Fees to Type C?
- 2. Roadmap
- 3. Baggage Migration Status
- 4. Polls
- 5. Future Discussion Topics
- 6. Open Q&A







Why is the industry migrating baggage fees to Type C?

- 1. Simplification
 - One service type for filing and processing
- 2. Industry standards / regulations
 - Support US DoT/CTA and IATA Reso 302
- 3. Promote Interline sale
 - Standardize sub codes
 - Same product = same sub code







ATPCO Releases for Baggage Data Migration

Implementation Scope	Implementation/ Adoption Date	
Baggage Data Migration of Service Types F and P to Baggage Charges C and new Prepaid/Check-in Indicator	31-Mar-19	
Baggage Data Migration and new Weight/Size Table 192 and Oversize/Overweight within Allowance Sub codes – <i>ATPCO Implementation</i>	31-Mar-19	1
Table 183: Add Exclude Functionality and Expand values in Carrier field for Baggage Strategies	29-Sep-19 GT JUL	ГST _Y 15
Baggage Data Migration and new Weight/Size Table 192 (incl. Oversize/Overweight within Allowance Sub codes – Industry Adoption	29-Mar-20	N
Standardization of all Sub Codes (Phase 2)	2020 and beyond	







Baggage Charges Type C Prepaid/CheckIn Indicator Usage

New Prepaid/Check implemented March 31, 2019

Since then:

15JUL19 Production statistics indicate the following usage:

- 12 carriers with Type C records that have Prepaid / Check-In value "P" or "C"
- Over 50,000 Type C records have Prepaid / Check-In value "P" or "C"





Security Table 183 enhancements in GOLD

New functionality to allow airlines to define not permitted points of sale and expanded Carrier values to include wildcards for "All Airlines" (\$\$) and Alliance partners *A (Star Alliance), *O (Oneworld) and *S (SkyTeam)

Added a new "Not Permitted" column Allowing new wildcard values "all airlines" and alliance partners (Baggage Only)

	Not Permit	ted A	Travel Agency	Carrier	GDS	Duty Function	Airport	Location	Адепсу Туре	Code/ Number	Authority
	1 ~				,				PSEUDO CODE/TRAVEL AGENCY	123	·
1	2 🗆			\$\$	1				∀		DISPLAY ONLY
	3 🗆			*A	1				▽		DISPLAY/BOOK/SELL
4	4 🗆				J				√		✓
	5				·				▽		✓
	3				√				✓		✓
					∀				✓		✓
	5 <u></u>				√				∀		✓
10	9 🗆				·				✓		✓
10					√				∀		✓
1	1 <mark> </mark>				·				✓		✓
13	2 🗌				√				✓		✓
13	3				▽				✓		✓
14	4 🗌				√				✓		✓
15	5 🗆				▽				✓		~
				<u>'</u>						-	







Polls

- Weight & Size Table 192
- Tickets Issued prior to the Migration
- Australian Advertising

https://atpcodigital.co1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV 2n0YWbJnTcYSIGF









At the June 2018 subscriber call, two systems advised that they have no plans to adopt the Weight & Size Table 192 due to lack of airline support.

Where did the priority come from?

Previous support for (1) Baggage Migration and (2) 2018 OSBF WG prioritization

Prioritization Exercise

The following list shows the ranking of business requests.

New rank	Current Rank	Business Request No.	Description		
1	3	934	Security Table (183) Functionality		
2	1	919/922	New: Industry Alignment of Current Capabilities		
3	5	760/852	Optional Services and Branded Fares: Date Management		
4	6	929	WG: Sub Code Standardization		
5	4	227	WG: Branded Fares: Carrier Flight Table		

If Standardizing Sub Codes is important to your airline, take our poll and express your support with system providers to advocate for system adoption.







Option 1: Processing Hierarchy (for tickets issued prior to migration)

- 1. Process Type "C" based on ticket date (as per data app)
- 2. Process Type "P" based on ticket date (as per data app)
- 3. Process Type "F" based on ticket date (new)

Option 2: Sales Date fall back

- 1. Process Type "C" based on ticket date (as per data app)
- 2. If no match to 1, process Type "C" based on sales date*

*Note: This would only apply for carriers that have removed "F" type filings for a year.

Which Option do you prefer?

Poll Question







Do you agree with the proposed solution to create a new tag to the Optional Services Record S7 allow carriers to define whether a provision should apply based on Sales Date or Ticket Date?

Poll Question





ATPCO Baggage Selection Quick Reference

- Now Available on the Baggage Migration Community web site!
- Draft High-level overview for how carrier selection will be different in Type C versus Type F

Example

 For International Journeys to/from United States and Canada - where the journey origin, destination or furthest checked point/turnaround is in the US or CA, use the rules of the first marketing carrier on the journey for outbound and inbound travel.

Example:

DFW – ZZ (XX) - x/JFK - ZZ (ZZ) - o/LHR – ZZ (ZZ) – x/JFK – ZZ (XX) – DFW (operating carrier), x/ = transfer, o/ = stopover

Service Type A (Baggage Allowance) & C (Charges) result	Type F (Flight-Related Services) result
ZZ rules apply DFW-LHR and LHR-DFW ²	Results may vary based on the travel the service is being assessed and whether the airlines agree to concur. Processing will first attempt to assess the marketing carrier and then the operating carrier.

Qualifier – Not a substitute for ATPCO Data Application







Baggage Community Topics – moving forward...

- Continued review of the migration status
- Weight & Size Table 192 Adoption
- Q&A

Any other topics?

If there are any additional items that you would like the Baggage Community to address, please email baggagecommunity@atpco.net







Baggage Migration Resources

Please visit the Baggage Community web site - https://info.atpco.net/baggage-migration-community (pass: atpcobmc)

The Baggage Migration Guide, downloadable from the Baggage Community web site, contains helpful tips regarding how to migrate airline data.

Anything else you want us to update? send to baggagecommunity@atpco.net.







Next Meeting

13AUG19 0800-0900 EST / 1400-1500 CEST

- Baggage Migration Status
- Issues/feedback since last meeting
- Review Q&A log and open up for questions
- Anything else? Contact BaggageCommunity@atpco.net







160 CO